REMARKS

In view of the amendments to the claims and the remarks to follow, applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments and reconsideration and allowance of this application.

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 12, 13, and 24-28 have been amended in order to more fully define applicants' invention and in response to the Examiner's objections and rejections.

Double Patenting Rejections

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 6, 7-14, and 18-28 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of various co-owned U.S. Patents and patent applications.

Applicants filed herewith a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the present application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term of co-owned U.S. Patent Nos. 6,601,057 and 6,697,825.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw his rejections and provisional rejections under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-10, 12-22, and 24-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,263,352 ("Cohen").

The Examiner's rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1, 12, 13, and 24-28 are directed to various methods and systems for generating a web site. Independent claims 1, 12, 13, and 24-28 have been amended to further

amended to add the feature of formatting the generated web site based upon the type of device used to access the web site. Support for these amendments can be found throughout the specification of the present application. For example, at page 12, "[t]he web site may be formatted so that it presents a different appearance depending on a number of factors including:

define the methods and systems of applicants' invention. Particularly, these claims have been

the access password or other identification provided by the user (e.g., user profile, navigation

history, etc.), or the capabilities of the device used to access the web site."

Applicants respectfully submit that nowhere in Cohen is it disclosed to format a generated web site based upon the device used to access the web site.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1, 12, 13, and 24-28 are patentable over Cohen at least for the foregoing reasons. Dependent claims 2-11, and 14-23 depend from independent claims 1 and 13, respectively, and are patentable at least because claims 1 and 13 are patentable.

In the event that the Examiner disagrees with any of the foregoing comments concerning the disclosures in the cited prior art, it is requested that the Examiner indicate where, in the reference, there is the basis for a contrary view.

In view of the foregoing remarks it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable over the prior art. Early and favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

Peter Withstandley Reg. No. 53,784

(212) 588-0800